



GIC-600AE (PL692)

Software Developer Errata Notice

Date of issue: 13-Oct-2023

Non-Confidential

Document version: 8.0

Copyright © 2018-2023 Arm® Limited (or its affiliates). All rights reserved.

Document ID: SDEN-1319947

This document contains all known errata since the r0p0 release of the product.



Non-confidential proprietary notice

This document is protected by copyright and other related rights and the practice or implementation of the information contained in this document may be protected by one or more patents or pending patent applications. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form by any means without the express prior written permission of Arm. No license, express or implied, by estoppel or otherwise to any intellectual property rights is granted by this document unless specifically stated.

Your access to the information in this document is conditional upon your acceptance that you will not use or permit others to use the information for the purposes of determining whether implementations infringe any third party patents.

THIS DOCUMENT IS PROVIDED "AS IS". ARM PROVIDES NO REPRESENTATIONS AND NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, SATISFACTORY QUALITY, NON-INFRINGEMENT OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE WITH RESPECT TO THE DOCUMENT. For the avoidance of doubt, Arm makes no representation with respect to, and has undertaken no analysis to identify or understand the scope and content of, third party patents, copyrights, trade secrets, or other rights.

This document may include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors.

TO THE EXTENT NOT PROHIBITED BY LAW, IN NO EVENT WILL ARM BE LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, PUNITIVE, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, HOWEVER CAUSED AND REGARDLESS OF THE THEORY OF LIABILITY, ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF THIS DOCUMENT, EVEN IF ARM HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

This document consists solely of commercial items. You shall be responsible for ensuring that any use, duplication or disclosure of this document complies fully with any relevant export laws and regulations to assure that this document or any portion thereof is not exported, directly or indirectly, in violation of such export laws. Use of the word "partner" in reference to Arm's customers is not intended to create or refer to any partnership relationship with any other company. Arm may make changes to this document at any time and without notice.

If any of the provisions contained in these terms conflict with any of the provisions of any click through or signed written agreement covering this document with Arm, then the click through or signed written agreement prevails over and supersedes the conflicting provisions of these terms. This document may be translated into other languages for convenience, and you agree that if there is any conflict between the English version of this document and any translation, the terms of the English version of the Agreement shall prevail.

The Arm corporate logo and words marked with ® or ™ are registered trademarks or trademarks of Arm Limited (or its subsidiaries) in the US and/or elsewhere. All rights reserved. Other brands and names mentioned in this document may be the trademarks of their respective owners. Please follow Arm's trademark usage guidelines at <https://www.arm.com/company/policies/trademarks>.

Copyright © 2018-2023 Arm® Limited (or its affiliates). All rights reserved.

Arm Limited. Company 02557590 registered in England.

110 Fulbourn Road, Cambridge, England CB1 9NJ.

(LES-PRE-20349)

Confidentiality status

This document is Non-Confidential. The right to use, copy and disclose this document may be subject to license restrictions in accordance with the terms of the agreement entered into by Arm and the party that Arm delivered this document to.

Unrestricted Access is an Arm internal classification.

Feedback

Arm welcomes feedback on this product and its documentation. To provide feedback on GIC-600AE (PL692), create a ticket on <https://support.developer.arm.com>.

To provide feedback on the document, fill the following survey: <https://developer.arm.com/documentation-feedback-survey>.

Inclusive language commitment

Arm values inclusive communities. Arm recognizes that we and our industry have used language that can be offensive. Arm strives to lead the industry and create change.

If you find offensive language in this document, please email terms@arm.com.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 5 |
| Scope | 5 |
| Categorization of errata | 5 |
| Change Control | 6 |
| Errata summary table | 8 |
| Errata descriptions | 10 |
| Category A | 10 |
| Category A (rare) | 10 |
| Category B | 11 |
| 2439861 FMU ping counter issues when used with low-power interface | 11 |
| 2479150 Clearing error with coincident firing can cause failure to report by safety mechanism | 13 |
| 2420112 Failure to forward highest priority interrupt | 14 |
| 2079287 X-propagation can cause loss of lockstep out of reset | 17 |
| 1568841 SPI recall failure without subsequent trigger | 18 |
| 1373113 False lockstep error reported due to qactive in lockstep comparator | 19 |
| Category B (rare) | 20 |
| Category C | 21 |
| 2023462 Target range check for MAPC/MOVALL ignores bits[51:48] of RDbase field | 21 |
| 2944819 GICD_ICLARn corrupted for 1-CPU or 2-CPU configs, with small affinity widths | 22 |
| 1733459 64 bit writes to FMU registers may not come into effect | 24 |
| 1339966 Targeted Cross-Chip SGIs incorrectly generate a SGI_OOR error on the source chip | 26 |
| 3029308 SPIs targeted at remote chips incorrectly generate no-destination error reports if the lower affinity levels do not match a PE on the local chip | 27 |
| 2023458 Affinity3 field corrupted by cross-chip 32-bit writes to upper half of GICD_IROUTERn | 28 |
| 1713694 Incorrect ERR<n>STATUS.SERR value | 30 |
| 1685313 SPI pipe does not always write back SEC corrections - including during SCRUB. | 32 |
| 1452744 DCHIPR reads 0 from chips that are not the default owner | 33 |
| 1445183 fault on gicd_smen_fdc will report error even when SM is disabled | 34 |

Introduction

Scope

This document describes errata categorized by level of severity. Each description includes:

- The current status of the erratum.
- Where the implementation deviates from the specification and the conditions required for erroneous behavior to occur.
- The implications of the erratum with respect to typical applications.
- The application and limitations of a workaround where possible.

Categorization of errata

Errata are split into three levels of severity and further qualified as common or rare:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Category A | A critical error. No workaround is available or workarounds are impactful. The error is likely to be common for many systems and applications. |
| Category A (Rare) | A critical error. No workaround is available or workarounds are impactful. The error is likely to be rare for most systems and applications. Rare is determined by analysis, verification and usage. |
| Category B | A significant error or a critical error with an acceptable workaround. The error is likely to be common for many systems and applications. |
| Category B (Rare) | A significant error or a critical error with an acceptable workaround. The error is likely to be rare for most systems and applications. Rare is determined by analysis, verification and usage. |
| Category C | A minor error. |

Change Control

Errata are listed in this section if they are new to the document, or marked as "updated" if there has been any change to the erratum text. Fixed errata are not shown as updated unless the erratum text has changed. The [errata summary table](#) identifies errata that have been fixed in each product revision.

13-Oct-2023: Changes in document version v8.0

| ID | Status | Area | Category | Summary |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|------------|--|
| 3029308 | New | Programmer | Category C | SPIs targeted at remote chips incorrectly generate no-destination error reports if the lower affinity levels do not match a PE on the local chip |

12-Jun-2023: Changes in document version v7.0

| ID | Status | Area | Category | Summary |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|------------|---|
| 2944819 | New | Programmer | Category C | 1ofN GICD_ICLARN corrupted for 1-CPU or 2-CPU configs, with small affinity widths |

23-Sep-2022: Changes in document version v6.0

| ID | Status | Area | Category | Summary |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|------------|---|
| 1568841 | Updated | Programmer | Category B | SPI recall failure without subsequent trigger |
| 2079287 | Updated | Programmer | Category B | X-propagation can cause loss of lockstep out of reset |
| 2420112 | Updated | Programmer | Category B | Failure to forward highest priority interrupt |
| 2439861 | Updated | Programmer | Category B | FMU ping counter issues when used with low-power interface |
| 2479150 | Updated | Programmer | Category B | Clearing error with coincident firing can cause failure to report by safety mechanism |
| 1685313 | Updated | Programmer | Category C | SPI pipe does not always write back SEC corrections - including during SCRUB. |
| 2023458 | Updated | Programmer | Category C | Affinity3 field corrupted by cross-chip 32-bit writes to upper half of GICD_IROUTERn |

05-Aug-2022: Changes in document version v5.0

| ID | Status | Area | Category | Summary |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|------------|---|
| 2420112 | New | Programmer | Category B | Failure to forward highest priority interrupt |
| 2439861 | New | Programmer | Category B | FMU ping counter issues when used with low-power interface |
| 2479150 | New | Programmer | Category B | Clearing error with coincident firing can cause failure to report by safety mechanism |

12-Feb-2021: Changes in document version v4.0

| ID | Status | Area | Category | Summary |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|------------|--|
| 2079287 | New | Programmer | Category B | X-propagation can cause loss of lockstep out of reset |
| 2023458 | New | Programmer | Category C | Affinity3 field corrupted by cross-chip 32-bit writes to upper half of GICD_IROUTERn |
| 2023462 | New | Programmer | Category C | Target range check for MAPC/MOVALL ignores bits[51:48] of RDbase field |

16-Jun-2020: Changes in document version v3.0

| ID | Status | Area | Category | Summary |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|------------|---|
| 1685313 | New | Programmer | Category C | SPI pipe does not always write back SEC corrections - including during SCRUB. |
| 1713694 | New | Programmer | Category C | Incorrect ERR<n>STATUS.SERR value |
| 1733459 | New | Programmer | Category C | 64 bit writes to FMU registers may not come into effect |

10-Sep-2019: Changes in document version v2.0

| ID | Status | Area | Category | Summary |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|------------|--|
| 1373113 | New | Programmer | Category B | False lockstep error reported due to qactive in lockstep comparator |
| 1568841 | New | Programmer | Category B | SPI recall failure without subsequent trigger |
| 1339966 | New | Programmer | Category C | Targeted Cross-Chip SGIs incorrectly generate a SGI_OOR error on the source chip |
| 1445183 | New | Programmer | Category C | fault on gicd_smen_fdc will report error even when SM is disabled |
| 1452744 | New | Programmer | Category C | DCHIPR reads 0 from chips that are not the Default Owner |

16-Nov-2018: Changes in document version v1.0

No errata in this document version.

Errata summary table

The errata associated with this product affect the product versions described in the following table.

| ID | Area | Category | Summary | Found in versions | Fixed in version |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|--|------------------------|------------------|
| 2439861 | Programmer | Category B | FMU ping counter issues when used with low-power interface | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2 | r0p3 |
| 2479150 | Programmer | Category B | Clearing error with coincident firing can cause failure to report by safety mechanism | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2 | r0p3 |
| 2420112 | Programmer | Category B | Failure to forward highest priority interrupt | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2 | r0p3 |
| 2079287 | Programmer | Category B | X-propagation can cause loss of lockstep out of reset | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2 | r0p3 |
| 1568841 | Programmer | Category B | SPI recall failure without subsequent trigger | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2 | r0p3 |
| 1373113 | Programmer | Category B | False lockstep error reported due to qactive in lockstep comparator | r0p0 | r0p1 |
| 2023462 | Programmer | Category C | Target range check for MAPC/MOVALL ignores bits[51:48] of RDbase field | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2, r0p3 | Open |
| 2944819 | Programmer | Category C | 1ofN GICD_ICLARn corrupted for 1-CPU or 2-CPU configs, with small affinity widths | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2, r0p3 | Open |
| 1733459 | Programmer | Category C | 64 bit writes to FMU registers may not come into effect | r0p0, r0p1 | r0p2 |
| 1339966 | Programmer | Category C | Targeted Cross-Chip SGIs incorrectly generate a SGI_OOR error on the source chip | r0p0 | r0p1 |
| 3029308 | Programmer | Category C | SPIs targeted at remote chips incorrectly generate no-destination error reports if the lower affinity levels do not match a PE on the local chip | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2, r0p3 | Open |
| 2023458 | Programmer | Category C | Affinity3 field corrupted by cross-chip 32-bit writes to upper half of GICD_IROUTERn | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2 | r0p3 |
| 1713694 | Programmer | Category C | Incorrect ERR<n>STATUS.SERR value | r0p0, r0p1 | r0p2 |
| 1685313 | Programmer | Category C | SPI pipe does not always write back SEC corrections - including during SCRUB. | r0p0, r0p1, r0p2 | r0p3 |
| 1452744 | Programmer | Category C | DCHIPR reads 0 from chips that are not the Default Owner | r0p0 | r0p1 |
| 1445183 | Programmer | Category C | fault on gicd_smen_fdc will report error even when SM is disabled | r0p0 | r0p1 |

Errata descriptions

Category A

There are no errata in this category.

Category A (rare)

There are no errata in this category.

Category B

2439861

FMU ping counter issues when used with low-power interface

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT B

Fault Status: Present in rOp0, rOp1, rOp2. Fixed in rOp3.

Description

The GIC has a ping function which periodically pings remote block to ensure that the communications channels are still functional.

If the GIC is requested to enter low-power mode (QSTOPPED) while the GIC is about to issue a ping message (but is otherwise idle), then it incorrectly enters QSTOPPED.

Conditions

The erratum can occur if the following conditions are met at the same time:

1. The GIC is programmed to issue pings and it starts to issue a ping to any remote block.
2. The GIC is idle, apart from the ping logic.
3. A low-power entry request is received on the Q-Channel.

Configurations impacted

Any.

Implications

There are two implications:

- The ping interface will stop and not issue or report further pings until it is reprogrammed.
- The GIC may enter QSTOPPED with a ping message on an AXI-Stream bus. This may remain on the bus with the **VALID** signal HIGH and appear as multiple messages being issued on the bus.

If this occurs, the **qactive** signal will be asserted.

Workaround

If using the Q-Channel, then software should program GICD_CTLR.QDENY during normal operation.

To enter low-power mode, software should:

1. Disable the ping functionality
2. Clear GICD_CTLR.QDENY
3. Issue low power entry request.

2479150

Clearing error with coincident firing can cause failure to report by safety mechanism

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT B

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2. Fixed in r0p3.

Description

When the GICD acknowledges the reporting of a fault by a remote GIC block (ITS, PPI, Wake, Col), the remote block's safety mechanism (SM) could get into a state in which subsequent errors from the affected SM are not reported.

Conditions

For the issue to occur, the fault's ack/clear from the GICD (initiated by software) must arrive at the remote block's safety mechanism (SM) on the same cycle in which a subsequent new error is reported.

Configurations

All GIC-600AE FuSa configurations with remote blocks (PPI, ITS, Wake, Col). These blocks contain SMs that detect errors and report them to the GICD. Errors detected and reported by GICD SMs are not affected.

Implications

If the condition occurs, subsequent errors might not be reported over the AXI-Stream interface until either:

- a clear is received (without an error being flagged by the SM)
- the SM is disabled and then re-enabled.

Workaround

Reset the GIC when an error is detected. For this to work reliably, CEs should be treated as UEs by ensuring that the FMU_ERR<n>CTLR.CE_EN field is cleared.

2420112

Failure to forward highest priority interrupt

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT B

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2. Fixed in r0p3.

Description

The Distributor (GICD) has a buffer where it stores the next SET or CLEAR packet to be sent to any CPU.

When the GIC is waiting to send a message to a CPU, if it re-evaluates that CPU and finds a new higher priority message, then it fails to update the request and assumes the new packet has been sent.

There are 2 possible failure modes:

Part 1 : If the packet to be sent is a SET packet then a higher priority SET may not be sent until an unblocking event occurs.

Part 2 : If the packet is a CLEAR (caused by an SPI recall) and the same interrupt is released from the CPU, then a further SET packet may be delayed until an unblocking event occurs.

Note: Relevant releases can only be generated if the corresponding `cpu_group_enable` is being disabled in the CPU.

Unblocking events are any of the following:

- Toggling any `GICR_CTLR.DPG<x>` bit of the impacted CPU
- Toggling any `cpu_group_enable` bit of the impacted CPU
- Toggling any `gicd_group_enable`
- Activate/Release of the outstanding interrupt on the impacted CPU
- Another interrupt arrives that is accepted as one of the top 5 (3 if no LPI) interrupts for the impacted CPU.

Configurations impacted

All.

Conditions

Interrupt in the following description refers to an LPI (if configured) or an SPI.

Part 1: (SET)

1. A CPU has no SPI or LPI sent to it.

Note this can occur after the activation of an interrupt. It does not mean there are no interrupts

pending for a CPU in the GIC.

2. The GIC identifies a new interrupt (A) and generates a SET packet.
3. A new higher priority interrupt (B) arrives, so the GIC attempts to re-evaluate the CPU but fails to send the new interrupt because the previous SET packet has not yet been sent.
4. Interrupt (B) is not sent until an unblocking event occurs.

Part 2: (CLEAR)

1. A CPU has an SPI sent to it and no other pending interrupts identified.
2. The SPI is recalled because it becomes disabled or non-pending, causing a CLEAR packet to be created.
3. The corresponding CPU group enable is cleared, causing SPI to be released.
4. The GIC identifies a new interrupt (C) for the same CPU and attempts to send it, but fails as the CLEAR packet has not yet been issued.
5. Interrupt (C) is not sent until an unblocking event occurs.

Implications

Part 1: (SET)

If the Priority Mask Register (PMR) is not being used, then this appears as a temporary miss-prioritization with no real impact.

However, if the PMR is being used to the first SET while waiting for the second, the system may hang as the second SET will not necessarily be delivered in a finite time.

In cases where an OS does use the PMR, it is expected that the value will ultimately be relaxed to allow all interrupts to be serviced, which would allow servicing of any stalled interrupts to continue. This is the case in upstream Linux, which only uses PMR to create pseudo-NMIs for profiling purposes.

Part 2: (CLEAR)

If the interrupt being targeted by the CLEAR is released from the CPU before the CLEAR is sent to the CPU, then a subsequent SET packet may not be delivered in a finite time.

Workaround

Part 1: (SET)

If not using the PMR, then no workaround is expected to be required.

However if PMR functionality must be used, then either:

- Periodically toggle GICR_CTLR.DPG<x> to ensure that interrupts are delivered. The required frequency will be a function of system interrupt latency tolerance.
- If not using LPIs, then use GICD_I(S)C)ENABLERn to model PMR functionality by disabling interrupts that would otherwise be masked. Note there is no need to poll GICD_CTLR.RWP in this case.

Part 2: (CLEAR)

Software should issue a **DSB** and toggle GICR_CTLR.DPG<x> after clearing the corresponding cpu_group_enable.

2079287

X-propagation can cause loss of lockstep out of reset

Status:

Fault Type: Programmer CAT B

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2. Fixed in r0p3.

Description

X-propagation can cause loss of lockstep in GIC-600AE.

Conditions

Multiple interrupts become pending and enabled together.

Configurations impacted

All.

Implications

Lockstep is lost between the primary and secondary GIC instances, resulting in a fault being reported.

Workaround

Write **0xFFFFFFFF** to the GICD_ICENABLER1 register, before initializing the GIC.

1568841

SPI recall failure without subsequent trigger

Status:

Fault Type: Programmer CAT B

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2. Fixed in r0p3.

Description

If an interrupt is identified as one of the top priority enabled interrupts for a CPU and is sent to the target cache, then there is a single-cycle window where if the interrupt is reprogrammed then the recall requirement is logged but not performed until the next external trigger.

The triggers can be any of:

- Activation, release, or deactivation of any SPI.
- A state change on any SPI signal.
- Register programming or a CPU group enable change.

Implications

There are two implications:

- If software changes a GICD_IROUTER register, then the ACE-Lite slave interface might not respond until the next trigger occurs or the CPU services the interrupt.
- If software programs registers other than ICENABLER, then the duration when the GIC uses old programming might extend until after the next trigger. The GIC does not use old programming more than once, or go back to old programming once it uses the new programming.

Workaround

Disable SPIs by writing to ICENABLER<n> before reprogramming them, especially if rerouting them by programming GICD_IROUTER.

This workaround ensures that all reprogramming of an SPI is atomic, and is the standard behavior of the Linux driver.

1373113

False lockstep error reported due to qactive in lockstep comparator

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT B

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, Fixed in r0p1

Description:

A false lockstep comparison error is generated by GIC-600AE. The ACE Switch lockstep comparison incorrectly includes the qactive signal.

The lockstep comparator expects that the qactive and qactive_fdc signals are two-cycle delayed with respect to each other. In point-to-point mode, these signals are not two-cycle delayed, and the lockstep comparator reports a lockstep error.

Correct behavior:

The lockstep error is not reported by the GIC-600AE.

Conditions:

This is configuration dependent and will show up whenever the icdiwakeup signal is asserted, because icdiwakeup feeds into qactive.

Configurations affected:

The false lockstep error is reported when all of the following configuration parameters are set:

- bypass_ports = 1, which instantiates the ITS ACE bypass switch.
- fusa_axis_int_busprot_type= 0, which selects point-to-point mode for AXI4-Stream protection.

Implication:

A false lockstep error is flagged by the Fault Management Unit inside the GIC-600AE through the ERI or FHI interrupt.

Workaround:

Software workaround:

Disable the Safety mechanism #8 (ACE bypass switch error) in the ITS by writing to FMU_SMEN the value "32'h0800_{ITS#}00", where ITS# is the ITS ID in 8 bits. Arm expects the impact on the SPFM to be low, and that the majority of systems will still meet their functional safety requirements with this safety mechanism disabled.

Hardware workaround:

Configure fusa_axis_int_busprot_type = 1, which uses CRC protection on interface.

Category B (rare)

There are no errata in this category.

Category C

2023462

Target range check for MAPC/MOVALL ignores bits[51:48] of RDbase field

Status:

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2, r0p3. Open

Description

The ITS ignores bits[51:48] of the RDbase (target) field of **MAPC**/**MOVALL** commands.

ITS programing should only be available to privileged software.

Conditions

Target field [51:48] of the RDbase field are nonzero during a **MAPC** or **MOVALL**

Implications

A command issued with an out-of-range target might not be detected, and it might execute with a truncated TGT field.

Workarounds

Software should only use legal targets that only use the lower bits of the RDbase field.

2944819

GICD_ICLARN corrupted for 1-CPU or 2-CPU configs, with small affinity widths

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2, r0p3, Fixed in: Open

Description

The GIC configuration file allows selection of the number of PEs and the widths of the affinity fields for MPIDR.

The validator is meant to ensure that legal combinations are chosen. However, there is a missing check that can cause some configurations with 1 or 2 PEs, either failing to compile or corrupting the value of GICD_ICLARN bit[1] for each interrupt.

GICD_ICLARN is a non-architectural feature that allows a 1 of N interrupt to target a class of CPUs rather than all of them. Class was primarily expected to map onto big or LITTLE CPUs, but has almost no function in a 1 or 2 PE system.

Configurations impacted

The following table shows the configurations that are impacted.

| PEs | Sum(AffinityWidth) | Status |
|-----|--------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 0 | Does not compile |
| 1 | 1 | Does not compile |
| 1 | 2 | GICD_ICLARN corruption |
| 2 | 1 | GICD_ICLARN corruption |
| 2 | 2 | GICD_ICLARN corruption |

Conditions

All conditions.

Implications

If GICD_ICLARN becomes corrupted for any interrupt that its GICD_IROUTERN programs as 1 of N, then:

- The interrupt may not consider PEs in class 1 as a possible target.

- RAS errors may be generated if there are no class 0 PEs.

The GICR_CLASS register controls which class the PE is assigned to. The register resets to 0, which assigns the PE to class 0.

Workaround

Do not program GICR_CLASS to 1.

There should be no functional need to use the class functionality, because splitting CPUs into classes when there are 2 or less CPUs is the same as targeted behavior.

The erratum can also be avoided by selecting a PE and sum(affinity_width_x) combination that is not shown in the table.

1733459

64 bit writes to FMU registers may not come into effect

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in rOp0, rOp1, Fixed in rOp2

Description

The Fault Management Unit (FMU) registers are protected using a lock and key mechanism. Once unlocked, the registers are locked again after a secure write to any register. Some FMU register are 64 bit registers wide, however the APB bus interface is 32 bits wide. The upper 32 bits of these registers is RESERVED or have read-only fields.

The design currently expects the software to perform 32 bit access to these 64 bit registers so that a KEY write can unlock the register before it updates that register.

If software makes a 64bit write to a register with data[63:0], the access will be split by the APB interconnect into two APB write of 32 bits each and will be forwarded to the FMU APB interface.

If these 32 bit writes reach the FMU interface in order "data[31:0]" followed by "data[63:32]" then lower 32 bits of the register (which contains meaningful contents) gets updated correctly. The upper 32 bits of the register would not be updated as the KEY would lock. This is not an issue as the upper 32 bits is RESERVED or read-only.

However, if the writes reaches FMU interface in order "data[63:32]" followed by "data[31:0]", then the lower 32 bits of the register would not be updated.

Configurations affected*

All configurations

Conditions

All the following must be true

1. Software must perform 64 bit write
2. The APB interconnect must split the 64 bit write into two 32 bit access with the order "data[63:32]" followed by "data[31:0]"

Implication

The programming of FMU may not be successful.

Note: The FMU registers are mapped into "device memory". Typically, the APB interconnect would not reorder the 64 bit access in this specific order. For e.g. ARM NIC400 APB interconnect always orders split writes as data[31:0] followed by data[63:32] in which case the system is not affected by errata.

Workaround

Hardware Workaround

The APB interconnect on receiving a 64 bit write with data[63:0] should split it into two APB writes in the order data[31:0] followed by data[63:32]

Software Workaround

The software should use 32 bit access to FMU registers.

1339966

Targeted Cross-Chip SGIs incorrectly generate a SGI_OOR error on the source chip

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, Fixed in r0p1

Description

An targeted (non-broadcast) SGI targeted at a remote chip will generate an SGI OOR error which will be reported in T&D Record 0 of the source chip.

The SGIs is still delivered correctly.

Implication

Error Record 0 will be flooded with false SGI errors. This may mask other real software errors that are generating as only a single error is recorded unless the record is cleared.

If record 0 is programmed to generate a fault_handling or error_recovery interrupt then spurious error interrupts will be generated.

There is no impact on tracking ECC or ITS software errors and no impact on the architectural operation of the GIC.

Workaround

Any of the following workarounds can be applied:

- a) Do not enable the interrupts generated from error record 0 and ignore error record 0. (This is the reset behaviour of the GIC)
- b) If the interrupts are enabled clear error record 0 after every remote SGI (based on receiving the error interrupt)
- c) If interrupts are enabled (or software plans to periodically check the error record status registers) during software debug then trap writes to the SGI generation registers and disable before generating each Cross-Chip targeted SGI.

3029308

SPIs targeted at remote chips incorrectly generate no-destination error reports if the lower affinity levels do not match a PE on the local chip

Status

Affects: GIC-600AE

Fault Type: Programmer Cat C

Fault Status: Present in: rOp0,rOp1,rOp2,rOp3. Fixed in: Open

Description

SYN_SPI_NO_DEST_TGT error will be incorrectly generated if an SPI is targeted at a PE on a remote chip and there is no PE on the source chip that matches the lower affinity fields of the target PE.

Conditions

Spurious interrupt will fire when the following are all true:

- 1) GIC is connected in a multi-chip system
- 2) An SPI is Pending, Enabled, Not Active and targeted at a PE on a remote chip.
- 3) There is no PE with matching lower affinity levels on the source chip. i.e.
if <chip_affinity_select> = 2 then there must be no local PE with affinity1 and affinity0 that matches the target PE or
if <chip_affinity_select> = 3 then there must be no local PE with affinity2, affinity1 and affinity0 that matches the target PE.

Note that matches apply after PE have been removed via straps or programming if <prog_mpidr> != none.

Configurations Impacted

Any configuration where there is more than one chip (<chip_count> != 1) and the PE MPIDR layouts are different.

Implications

GICT Error record 0 will report spurious errors

Workaround

RAS SW should ignore the error or disable the error output from error record 0.

2023458

Affinity3 field corrupted by cross-chip 32-bit writes to upper half of GICD_IROUTERn

Status:

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2. Fixed in r0p3.

Description

The upper 32 bits of the GICD_IROUTERn registers contain the Affinity3 field, and the whole register should be accessible from the GICD address space of any connected chip using 32-bit or 64-bit accesses.

However, using a 32-bit write to the upper half of a GICD_IROUTERn for an SPI that is owned on a different chip, results in the Affinity3 field being set to 0 rather than the programmed value.

Access to GIC registers should be limited to privileged software only.

Configurations impacted

GIC configurations that include multiple chips and an affinity3 width of greater than 0, that is, when:

- The **chip_count** configuration parameter is not set to 1, and
- The **chip_affinity_select_level** configuration parameter is set to 3.

Note that AArch32 systems do not support nonzero Affinity3 values, so this erratum only impacts AArch64 systems.

To trigger this erratum, software must have already completed multiple 64-bit accesses, to have successfully connected the chips.

Conditions

Software issues a 32-bit write to the upper half of a GICD_IROUTERn for an SPI that is owned on another chip.

Implications

Impacted SPIs are not routed to the expected CPUs. Depending on the system topology and programming, the SPI might be delivered to a CPU on chip 0 with matching Affinity2, Affinity1 and Affinity0, or the SPI might not be delivered.

Workaround

There are 2 possible workarounds:

- Always use 64-bit writes when setting the Affinity3 field in GICD_IROUTERn. 32-bit writes are acceptable when setting GICD_IROUTERn.IRM. This workaround is the expected behavior.
- Program GICD_IROUTERn registers through the GICD or GICDA registers space, on the chip where the SPI is currently owned according to the GICD_CHIPR registers.

1713694

Incorrect ERR<n>STATUS.SERR value

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in rOp0, rOp1, Fixed in rOp2

Description

Fault Management Unit (FMU) reports random hardware faults detected by asserting an interrupt. On receipt of an interrupt, the error recovery handling routine inspects the error records of the FMU to take appropriate actions. As part of this inspection the software performs the following steps

- 1) Read the ERRGSR register to find which error record number is reporting an error
- 2) Read ERR<n>STATUS register to get more information
 - a) Bit[31] : V : would indicate this error record is in error
 - b) bit[25:24] : would indicate if this is a correctable error
 - c) bit[29] : would indicate if this is an uncorrectable error
 - d) bit[15:8] : identify the source of the error
 - e) bit[7:0]: SERR : provides additional information on architecturally defined primary code. When there is no error this field return 8'd0 When there is an error this field should return a non-zero value.

The defect is that this field always return 8'd0 even in case of error being reported.

Conditions

When error is reported, this field return incorrect value

Configurations affected

All configurations

Implications

Impact should be low as this is one of the fields which provides more additional information on the error. All the other information regarding the error is still valid (2.a to 2.d above). It may be confusing to software to read a error record indicating an error with this field set to 8'd0 .

Workaround

Software should ignore this field. Use all other information described in points 2.a to 2.d above.

1685313

SPI pipe does not always write back SEC corrections - including during SCRUB.

Status:

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, r0p1, r0p2. Fixed in r0p3.

Description

Single-bit errors that are detected in the SPI pipe are always detected and reported but are not always corrected immediately after detection.

General searching and RAM scrubs do not cause RAM writebacks for single-bit errors.

Double-bit error detection is not affected.

Implications

There is no impact on the architectural operation of the GIC but the MTBF might increase.

A RAM scrub (setting GICD_FCTLR.SIP to 1) only reports errors and does not correct single-bit errors.

Workaround

If a scrub reports a *Single-bit Error Correct* (SEC) error or it reports multiple SEC errors on the same SPI line during operation, then to clear the fault, write to any register which impacts that SPI such as GICD_IGROUPRn. This workaround is successful unless it is genuine stuck-at-fault.

The register write can occur in the Secure or Non-secure world, because the GIC performs the error correction in the RAM even if the security check fails.

1452744

DCHIPR reads 0 from chips that are not the default owner

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, Fixed in r0p1

Description

The DCHIPR register contains 2 fields

1) RTOwner - Which chip is the current owner of the Routing table (also known as Default Owner or Crown Socket)

2) PUP - Indicates that the RT owner is currently performing an update.

The only reason for writing this register is to change the RTOwner if planning to power down the RTOwner Socket.

Operations to move the owner will complete successfully, however PUP may incorrectly indicate that the update has completed whilst still in progress

Implications

Software may think PUP has dropped early.

If software attempts to start a new access e.g. chip offline before PUP has really dropped then the new access will be rejected.

Rejected writes to update chip state will be detectable as the relevant CHIPR register will not record the updated value.

Workaround

If changing the RT Owner is really required, then software should poll DCHIPR on both the new and old sockets to ensure that PUP is recoded as 0 on both, before attempting further Routing table operations.

1445183

fault on gicd_smen_fdc will report error even when SM is disabled

Status

Fault Type: Programmer CAT C

Fault Status: Present in r0p0, Fixed in r0p1

Description:

The gicd_smen is a signal inside GIC from the Fault management unit (FMU) going to the Safety mechanism which can be programmed by the user to tell the safety mechanism to not report faults when detected. If there is a fault on this signal (gicd_smen), then a lockstep error is still reported by the GIC

Correct behaviour

The FMU should not have reported the fault

Conditions

For this errata to have an effect all the following must be happen

- 1) Fault on signal gicd_smen . This is rare as it would be 1 signal out of all the signals inside GIC (order of 1/million).
- 2) User should have disabled that safety mechanism

Configurations affected:

All

Implication:

A lockstep error would be flagged by the fault management unit inside the GIC through the ERI or FHI interrupt

Workaround:

No workaround is required

